concrete pipe association design manual

File Name: concrete pipe association design manual.pdf
Size: 1976 KB
Type: PDF, ePub, eBook
Category: Book
Uploaded: 13 May 2019, 22:52 PM
Rating: 4.6/5 from 752 votes.

Status: AVAILABLE

Last checked: 16 Minutes ago!

In order to read or download concrete pipe association design manual ebook, you need to create a FREE account.

Download Now!

eBook includes PDF, ePub and Kindle version

Register a free 1 month Trial Account.
Download as many books as you like (Personal use)
Cancel the membership at any time if not satisfied.
Join Over 80000 Happy Readers

Book Descriptions:

We have made it easy for you to find a PDF Ebooks without any digging. And by having access to our ebooks online or by storing it on your computer, you have convenient answers with concrete pipe association design manual . To get started finding concrete pipe association design manual , you are right to find our website which has a comprehensive collection of manuals listed.
Our library is the biggest of these that have literally hundreds of thousands of different products represented.

Book Descriptions:

concrete pipe association design manual

It eliminates the lengthy computations previously required. The manual includes standard installations using the indirect design method. More than 330 pages of tables and figures covering hydraulics of sewers and culverts, live loads and earth loads, supporting strengths and supplemental design data are listed. Detailed example problems of specific applications illustrate the use of the time saving design aids included in the manual. The Design Manual is a companion volume to the CONCRETE PIPE HANDBOOK. The Concrete Pipe Design Manual includes a hardbound book with accompanying CD ROM. Each system must be designed in light of unique factual circumstances and constructed in accordance with all requirements of applicable law. It eliminates the lengthy computations previously required. The Design Manual is a companion volume to the Concrete Pipe Handbook. The ACPA Concrete Pipe Design Manual includes a hardbound book with accompanying CD. These include Hydraulics of Precast Concrete Conduits Pipes and Box Culverts 4.4 MB A detailed guideline to assist engineers with the hydraulic design of concrete culverts in Australia and New Zealand. The manual includes the theoretical concepts of hydraulic design, as well as containing the appropriate design aspects for runoff, culverts, drains, sewers and pressure pipes. This manual considers the design concepts required for such types of application, as well as installation design characteristics. The Design Manual is a companion volume to the CONCRETE PIPE HANDBOOK which provides an uptodate compilation of the concepts and theories which form the basis for the design and installation of precast concrete pipe sewers and culverts and explanations for the charts, tables and design procedures summarized in the Design Manual.http://www.ojsp.ca/resources/fck_upload/95-arctic-cat-cougar-550-manual.xml

- **american concrete pipe association design manual, ontario concrete pipe association design manual, concrete pipe association of australia design manual, concrete pipe association design manual.**

Special recognition is acknowledged for the contribution of the staff of the American Concrete Pipe Association and the technical review and assistance of the engineers of the member companies of the Association in preparing this Design Manual. Special recognition is acknowledged for the contribution of the staff of the American Concrete Pipe Association and the technical review and assistance of the engineers of the member companies of the Association in preparing this Design Manual Also acknowledged is the development work of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, American Society of Civil Engineers, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, U. S. Federal Highway Administration, Bureau of Reclamation, Iowa State University, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Water Environment Federation, and many others. Credit for much of the data in this Manual goes to the engineers of these organizations and agencies. Every effort has been made to assure accuracy, and technical data are considered reliable, but no guarantee is made or liability assumed. INTRODUCTION The design and construction of sewers and culverts are among the most important areas of public works engineering and, like all engineering projects, they involve various stages of development. The information presented in this manual does not cover all phases of the project, and the engineer may need to consult additional references for the data required to complete preliminary surveys. This manual is a compilation of data on concrete pipe, and it was planned to provide all design information needed by the engineer when he begins to consider the type and shape of pipe to be used. All equations used in developing the figures and tables are shown along with limited supporting theory. A condensed bibliography of literature references is included to assist the engineer who wishes to further study the development of these equations.http://www.dakmet.com.pl/upload/95-altima-owners-manual.xml

Chapters have been arranged so the descriptive information can be easily followed into the tables and figures containing data which enable the engineer to select the required type and size concrete pipe without the lengthy computations previously required. All of these design aids are presently published in engineering textbooks or represent the computer analysis of involved equations. Supplemental data and information are included to assist in completing this important phase of the project, and illustrative example problems are presented in Chapters 2 through 4. A review of these examples will indicate the relative ease with which this manual can be used. The revised Chapter 4 on Loads and Supporting Strengths incorporates the Standard Installations for concrete pipe bedding and design. The standard Installations are compatible with todays methods of installation and incorporate the latest research on concrete pipe. In 1996 the B, C, and D beddings, researched by Anson Marston and Merlin Spangler, were replaced in the AASHTO Bridge Specifications by the Standard Installations. A description of the B, C, and D beddings along with the appropriate design procedures are included in Appendix B of this manual to facilitate designs still using these beddings. Download Concrete Pipe Design Manual by American Concrete Pipe Association easily in PDF format for free. Download Related Posts Concrete Mix Design Quality Control and Specification 4th Edition Experiment and Calculation of Reinforced Concrete at Elevated Temperatures Advanced Materials and Techniques for Reinforced Concrete Structures Copyrights This website is in compliance with the Digital Millennium Copyrights Act. All Rights Reserved. Powered By Afrodien. Used GoodAll pages are intact, and the cover is intact. The spine may show signs of wear. Pages can include limited notes and highlighting, and the copy can include previous owner inscriptions. At ThriftBooks, our motto is Read More, Spend Less.

Please try again.Please try again.Please try again. Then you can start reading Kindle books on your smartphone, tablet, or computer no Kindle device required. Register a free business account To calculate the overall star rating and percentage breakdown by star, we don't use a simple average. Instead, our system considers things like how recent a review is and if the reviewer bought the item on Amazon. It also analyzes reviews to verify trustworthiness. Please try again later. Lawrence W. Gooss 5.0 out of 5 stars It is an excellent reference book, and I recommend it to anyone who needs this sort of information. There are numerous referances within the document to give more detailed information on specific issues.If you do not wish to receive these emails please tick this box. The Romans developed cement and concrete similar to that used today. They mixed slaked lime with a pozzolanic volcanic ash from Mt. Vesuvius to produce hydraulic cement that hardened under water and would not deteriorate when exposed to moisture. Some pipelines and aqueducts constructed using this concrete are still in use today. It remained in operation for over 100 years. The French were the first to incorporate steel reinforcement in concrete pipe in 1896 known as the Monier patent. The concept was brought to America in 1905 and to Australia in 1910. Many of these pipes are still in operation and are a testament to the long service life of steel reinforced concrete pipe. Indeed, asset owners can now confidently plan on a 100 year service life for steel reinforced concrete pipe. Two of the founding members, Humes and Rocla, are still involved with the CPAA to this day. In the 1980s the manufacturers of concrete pipe in New Zealand were encouraged to join the Association due the emerging synergies in the region and the stormwater drainage industry. This created the Concrete Pipe Association of Australasia.

This is reflected in the Articles of Associations as a prerequisite of being a member of the Concrete Pipe Association of Australasia. However, you may need to coordinateFill height tables are presented in the These fill height tablesThe required strength of the concreteWith this designatedFor example,For this example,This can increase the cost because such pipeManufacturing conditionsTherefore, potential manufacturersFor jacked pipe, thereUnder some conditions, it may be worthwhileBecome acquainted with the availability ofConsult the Bridge. However, changes to indirect design procedures and proper application of the direct design method may not be well understood by designers. The goal of this work is to present designers with a concise history and major concepts of both methods to facilitate the proper application of either method for reinforced concrete pipe. The development of the indirect design method is given with emphasis on changes in the bedding factor, which is a constant that relates the strength of pipe in the threeedgebearing test to the strength of pipe in the installed condition. The development of the standard installations and direct design method are presented, and finally a comparison between design results from both methods is made. Recommendations for reinforced concrete pipe design and the proper application of the bedding factor are provided. The direct design method is promoted as a superior method for the design of reinforced concrete pipe. Introduction Two design methods currently exist for the design of buried reinforced concrete pipe RCP the indirect design method and the direct design method. The direct design method employs advanced structural analysis techniques, modern concepts of reinforced concrete design, and soil characteristics in contrast to the traditional empirical nature of the indirect design approach.

http://eastbayscanning.com/images/canon-a420-service-manual.pdf

However, the writers anticipate that designers will continue to use the indirect design method as long as specifications and supporting materials are published. Unfortunately, some of the concepts that form the basis of the indirect design method are not well understood or correctly used today. One such concept is the bedding factor. The bedding factor relates the strength of pipe in the threeedgebearing TEB test to the strength of pipe in the installed condition and the selection of the bedding factor strongly affects the design results. While this is the case, it is difficult to calculate an accurate bedding factor because the TEB loading condition is very different from the installed condition, and the true bedding factor relationship is complex. The indirect design method was developed between 1910 and 1930. Changes in engineering practice, technology, and methods of construction have led to modifications in the formulation of the bedding factor to reflect practical advancements while providing more economy and performance in RCP installations. However, modifications to the indirect design procedures are not universally adopted by consulting engineers. Specifications and design aids for several versions of indirect design practice exist and the selection of appropriate design methods by a consultant has become a difficult task in the absence of a unified current design procedure. Development of the direct design method began in the 1970s and continues to the present. Accurate models of the pipesoil interaction were developed followed by the design of a computer program to perform analysis and design of RCP. A concise new publication is necessary for designers to understand the available methods and their limitations. In this paper, a thorough review of the pertinent literature is presented and the evolution of the bedding factor is documented. The implications of installation types and the selection and use of bedding factors are discussed.

http://www.efodis.com/images/canon-a480-manual-focus.pdf

As a result, guidance is provided to practicing engineers for more effective and standardized use of the design methods in general and indirect design bedding factors in particular. The direct design method is promoted as a superior method for the design of RCP. Review of Concrete Pipe Design Methods RCP has been primarily designed using semiempirical techniques for the past century and has shown good performance over the years. In this section, the development of the available design methods for buried concrete pipe is briefly presented in chronological order. Beginning in 1910, Anson Marston developed a method for calculating earth loads above a buried pipe based on the understanding of soil mechanics at that time. In the late 1920s, a research project at the Iowa State University was conducted with the objective of determining the supporting strength of buried rigid pipes in an embankment installation when subjected to earth pressures, using Marston’s theories. The results of this research were given in a comprehensive paper by M. G. Spangler 1933 , where a general equation for the bedding factor was presented. His work included the definition of four standard bedding types that are similar to those defined earlier by Marston. Marston and Spangler's works form the basis of the indirect design method currently used for RCP. According to the indirect design method, the required supporting strength of the pipe is a function of the magnitude of the earth pressure and its distribution around the pipe. Supporting strength is obtained from the results of TEB tests. The required strength is defined in terms of the total load, a bedding factor, and a factor of safety. Wall thickness, concrete strength, and reinforcement requirements corresponding to the required strength are given in ASTM C76 ASTM 2005 .

The indirect design method has been a generally accepted procedure in the past; however, developments in the understanding of soil properties as well as advancements in structural analysis techniques have led to significant improvements in the design of concrete pipe that are not reflected in the indirect design method. In the 1970s, ACPA instituted a longrange research program with the objective of evaluating the performance of concrete pipesoil installations and improving the design practice. In this research, the structural behavior of concrete pipes and soilstructure interactions were examined. Consecutively, four new standard installations, Heger earth pressure distribution, and the direct design procedure were incorporated in a 1993 ASCE standard entitled "ASCE standard practice for direct design of buried precast concrete pipe in standard installation SIDD" ASCE 1998 . The required strength of the concrete pipe is determined from the effects of the bending moment, thrust, and shear in the pipe wall. Wall thickness, concrete strength, and reinforcement design are evaluated using rational procedures based on strength and crack width limits that were developed in the ACPA longrange research program. Currently, both the indirect and the direct design methods are used for the design of RCP, and both methods have elements related to the other. The modern standard installations, which were developed to eliminate the limitations of the historic installations, and were incorporated in the direct design method, are also used in the indirect design method with acceptable performance. Vertical arching factor, as shown in Fig. 4, generated by Heger earth pressure distribution is also applied to the calculation of earth pressures in the indirect design method.

On the other hand, the rational evaluation used for predicting the strength of RCP and crack width limits in the direct design method were developed based on the results of TEB tests, which were originally intended for the indirect design. Development of the Bedding Factor The indirect design method of RCP design began with research performed at the Iowa State University in the early 1900s. The Concrete pipe technology handbook published by the ACPA contains a concise history of this work ACPA 1993 . The two objectives of the research were to determine the load on a buried pipe and the supporting strength of the pipe. Anson Marston developed a method for calculating earth loads above a buried pipe. Marston suggested that the supporting strength of pipe should be based on the loading and the type of support given by the specified bedding material. Therefore, to facilitate calculations of the supporting strength of pipe, Marston developed four installation conditions based on theoretical and experimental work. Spangler developed the concept of bedding factor through research performed at the Iowa State University in the 1930s. The results of his work were published in a report entitled "The supporting strength of rigid pipe culverts" Spangler 1933 . Spangler concluded that the bedding factor is a function of both the width of contact and quality of contact between the pipe and bedding material. The bedding factor can be expressed as the ratio of the vertical load which causes cracking in the pipe wall in field conditions to the vertical load which causes cracking in the pipe in a TEB test. The TEB test will be explained in the following section. Spangler noted that the first cracks developed at the invert of the pipe during experiments. According to the indirect design method, the required supporting strength of pipe is based on the bedding factor, the total load, and a factor of safety, as illustrated in Eq. 1.

The supporting strength is expressed as a D load to classify strength independent of pipe diameter.

FS D The bedding factor is defined as the ratio of the supporting strength of pipe under the field loading condition W to the supporting strength of similar pipe in a TEB test. Because cracking in concrete is a function of tensile stresses in the pipe wall, it can be shown Spangler 1933; ACPA 1991 that the bedding factor can also be expressed as a ratio of moments in the TEB test and field conditions. For an embankment condition Fig. 2 , the bedding factor is also dependent on the magnitude of lateral pressure and the portion of the vertical height of the pipe over which this pressure acts. The embankment bedding factors, B f e, represent a range of factors appropriate for most of the installation conditions expected to be encountered. Lateral pressure causes bending moments in the pipe wall which act opposite to the bending moments resulting from vertical soil pressure. The moments produced by lateral soil pressure are therefore beneficial to the supporting strength of the pipe, as the larger bedding factor corresponds to a smaller required D load for a given installation. The trench bedding factors, B f t, were based on experimental results of test installations and represent conservative values for their respective bedding class. ThreeEdgeBearing Test The TEB test Fig. 5 was developed at Iowa State University as an easy and inexpensive way to determine a minimum strength condition for pipe Peckworth and Hendrickson 1964 . The vertical loads applied to the top and bottom of the pipe in the test are concentrated loads while the loads in the installed condition will be distributed over some portion of the pipe. Similar to arch shapes, point loads cause larger stresses and deflections in the circular pipe than uniformly distributed loads and an installed pipe will rarely experience concentrated loads.

Also, note that as the diameter of pipe increases, the ratio of wall thickness to diameter decreases and the TEB test becomes a more severe loading condition for the pipe Peckworth and Hendrickson 1964 . For larger diameter pipes, shear stresses will govern pipe strength in a TEB test while shear or flexure limit states may control the pipe strength in the field ACPA 1993 . Generally, flexure will control for lower fill heights while shear will control for higher fill heights. This is an important consideration because the bedding factor is fundamentally defined as a ratio of TEB load and field load which cause the same effect in the pipe wall. If the controlling limit state in the TEB test does not correspond to the limit state in the field, the bedding factor relationship is a false indication of supporting strength. Additionally, in the case where shear controls both the TEB test and the field condition, the formulation of the bedding factor as a ratio of moments at the invert is inconsistent with the actual behavior of the pipe in the TEB test and the installed condition. Therefore, the use of bedding factors based on moments for this case is inappropriate. Reformulation to account for Lateral Pressure The earliest trench bedding factors developed at Iowa State University were derived empirically from test installations without using sidefill and therefore, no lateral soil pressure effects were noted. The embankment bedding factors were developed considering active lateral pressure applied to the sides of the pipe above the top of the in situ soil adjacent to the pipe ACPA 1993 . Modern construction equipment can provide high levels of backfill compaction that result in passive lateral earth pressures which should be accounted for in the design of RCP.

Lateral pressure acting on the pipe will produce bending moments in the pipe wall which act opposite to those bending moments produced by vertical loads and therefore, will reduce the total bending moment within the pipe wall. The lateral pressure also produces an axial thrust component in the wall of the pipe where the maximum moment occurs, which is typically at the pipe invert. Similar to arches, the effect of axial force in a pipe wall is significant in design. Arch structures made of concrete rely on this axial compression for their load carrying capacity. When load effects create a combination of axial force and flexure, the pure compressive stresses created in the cross section due to the axial thrust reduces the flexural tensile stresses and thus are beneficial. Axial compression in these structures is an important consideration because this thrust reduces the tensile stresses in the structure and allows the material to span longer distances. In this formulation of the bedding factor, published in 1991, the benefits of lateral earth pressure on pipe supporting strength are considered. However, the beneficial axial thrust component is conservatively neglected.

This formulation is based on the historical bedding classes and involves calculations which require the designer to make several assumptions about the installation characteristics, pressure distribution around the pipe, and soil properties. Limitations of Indirect Design Using the Historical Bedding Classes As a result of recent advancements in manufacturing and construction, advanced structural analysis techniques, modern concepts of reinforced concrete design, and soil characteristics, practical issues regarding the economy and stateoftheart of the indirect design method have developed over the years. To address these issues, the ACPA initiated a longterm research program to develop a modern method for designing RCP installations ACPA 1993 .

The developments resulting from this research are discussed in the next section. Development of Standard Installations The modern installations were developed to include the benefits of advancements in engineering practice and the implementation of computer methods of analysis. The research goals were to improve both the economy and performance of buried RCP installations. Initial research at Northwestern University developed an accurate model of the pipesoil installation Krizek and McQuade 1978 . The next step was the design of a computer program, SPIDA, that could determine loads and pressure distributions on buried pipe based on usersupplied installation characteristics. Using this information, a designer could implement SPIDA to analyze and design pipe to meet the demands of a particular installation Hodges and Eyart 1993 . The parametric studies also led to a single accurate pressure distribution around the buried pipe developed by Heger Fig. 4 . The differences in pressure between the standard installation types are accounted for by nondimensional factors. The Heger pressure distribution and the standard installations have been widely verified by experiments Selig and Packard 1986, 1987; Sargand et al. 1995; Kurdzeil 1999; Hill et al. 1999; Wong et al. 2006 and allow for the determination of loading configurations for buried pipe in each of the standard installation types. Indirect Design Using Standard Installations Design Data 40 ACPA 1996 and the Concrete Pipe Design Manual ACPA 2000 are the newest ACPA publications pertaining to bedding factors. In these documents, the bedding factors are redeveloped for the standard installations and Heger pressure distributions. For the first time, beneficial axial thrust is considered in the development of the bedding factor.

Using direct design software, the service load moments and thrusts M F I, N F I, and N FS required for the computation of the bedding factor can be calculated for each installation type through the entire range of available pipe diameters. N U h a 2 It should be noted that the thrust load factor is 1.0 for ultimate load calculations as it is calculated by the direct design method. This correlates to a decrease in the required supporting strength of pipe. Comparison of Bedding Factors Developed Using Bedding Classes and Standard Installations Fig. 6 presents a comparison between bedding factors computed for the traditional bedding classes Table 1 and bedding factors computed using standard installations Table 2 for the embankment condition. The standard installation bedding factors are larger than the traditional bedding factors. The increase in bedding factor, which corresponds to a decrease in the required D load, is due to the inclusion of beneficial axial thrust in the expression for the field moment in the latest formulation of the bedding factor. The standard installations also represent a more accurate model of pipesoil interaction than the bedding classes. Note that the new standard installation types are not related nor considered equivalent to the traditional bedding classes. Fig. 6. Embankment bedding factors—comparison B. Skourup The incorporation of standard installations into the indirect design method provides substantial improvements in the traditional method. However, the standard installations were developed to be used with the newer direct design procedure, thus the writers suggest that adopting the direct design method along with the standard installations is clearly a better practice and modern approach to RCP design. The indirect and the direct design methods are compared in more detail in a later section.

Standard Installation Direct Design The development and experimental verification of the standard installations are previously mentioned. The standard installations can be implemented as a stateoftheart enhancement to the indirect design method. However, the ACPA research program also developed a modern, flexible, and efficient design procedure direct design method to take full advantage of the standard installations. The direct design method permits more accurate design of pipe and evaluation of pipe structural behavior using design procedures that are similar to those used for other reinforced concrete structures. The soilstructure interaction analysis is based on a finiteelement pipesoil model which allows calculation of both loads acting on the pipe and the moments, thrusts, and shears at points in the pipe circumference. These pipe wall forces are then used to determine reinforcing requirements for both ultimate limit states and crack control. The analysis and design of RCP by the direct design method is much more rigorous than the indirect design procedure. However, the use of computers to perform the calculations allows a designer to design pipe efficiently and accurately. The ASCE SIDD practice facilitates a rational design procedure for structural engineers, which makes it possible to design the most efficient concrete pipesoil installations. Comparison of Design Methods In the state of Nebraska, Type 3 installations and 48in.This example is used as a basis for comparison of the direct and indirect design methods. The installation type, design criteria, pipe diameter, wall thickness, and reinforcing type are held constant. The ACPA fill height tables are design aids published to facilitate the use of the indirect design method incorporating standard installations and are used here to select a pipe class based on the installation type, pipe diameter, and fill height.